

Decisions taken by the Licensing Committee on Thursday, 28 April 2022

Agenda Item No	Topic	Decision
----------------	-------	----------

Part A – Items considered in public

<p>A3</p>	<p>Zone E Beckenham Place Park Beckenham Hill Road BR3 5BS</p>	<p>IN THE MATTER OF THIS APPLICATION FOR THE VARIATION OF PREMISES LICENCES, THE COMMITTEE HAS CONSIDERED ALL THE RELEVANT REPRESENTATIONS MADE BY ALL PARTIES.</p> <p>The Committee has made the following determination to ensure the promotion of the licensing objectives in accordance with the provisions of the Secretary of State’s guidance and the principles of our licensing policy:</p> <p>With a view to ensuring the promotion of the licensing objectives, in accordance with the provisions of the statutory guidance and the principles of our licensing policy, the applications were AGREED.</p> <p>In coming to a determination the Committee considered the following matters:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1 The Committee noted the claim that the applicant had been organising events in Beckenham Place Park since 2019 and had received positive feedback from local authorities. The application was within the licensing policy and reflected previous engagement with the responsible authorities 2. Members noted that a small number of objections had been received from local residents. The applicant had increased contact with residents and a community liaison officer had been appointed to ensure that residents were given the opportunity to raise any concerns with Krankbrother. Two residents’ meetings had been held since the last event in 2021. Two more meetings were planned before the next event 3. Krankbrother identified noise as a major issue for residents, so a new noise management plan had been created to help reduce local disturbance. The plan self imposes a low frequency limit. The P A direction had been changed, the site design had changed, monitoring locations had increased, and output from speakers would be reduced.
------------------	--	--

Decisions taken by the Licensing Committee on Thursday, 28 April 2022

Agenda Item No	Topic	Decision
		<p>4. Members of the Committee noted that Krankbrother paid a large damage deposit every year to the park.</p> <p>5. Members of the Committee noted the representation made by Councillor Wells, ward member for Copers Cope in the London Borough of Bromley. Residents had endured noise from music in the park which could be heard several miles away, particularly the base. Councillor Wells welcomed Krankbrother's attempts at mitigation of noise issues and the new noise plan which addressed many of the residents' issues.</p> <p>6. It was also noted that complaints had been received from local residents who had endured anti-social behaviour, defecation and urination in residents' front gardens at events held in 2019. More policing and marshalling of the area was required. The closure of several roads around the park was welcomed to ease the problem of parking for residents.</p> <p>7. Members of the Committee noted that Councillor Wells objected to the application because he did not have confidence in the applicant's ability to marshal and police a 50% increase in the numbers of people attending events in Beckenham Place Park.</p> <p>8. Representations opposing these applications had not been received from the Metropolitan Police or any other responsible authorities and it was agreed that by granting these applications, the four licensing objectives would be upheld.</p>